

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,756	•	08/07/2000	Sharon Duvdevani	U 012894-7	3691
140	7590	06/12/2006		EXAM	INER
	& PARRY	PET	CARTER, AARON W		
	26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2624	
				DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/633,756	DUVDEVANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aaron W. Carter	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 May 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ ⁻	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ı. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 34-38</u> is/are pending in th	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-38</u> is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.	. 4/14:				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2000</u> is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	; 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
	//////////				
Attachment(s)	JINGEEWIJ MARY EXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interprew S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO/948); 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/948)	~ / ¬	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·			

Application/Control Number: 09/633,756

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 11, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed May 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the prior art of Tokita, already of record, does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation of "representation of boundaries comprising reference vectors extending along said boundaries". Further stating "Tokita's gradient arrows would normally be transverse to or even perpendicular to the boundary, as distinguished from the reference vectors of the claimed invention, which extend along the boundary."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Wherein the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims limitation of "extending along the boundaries", the prior art of Tokita discloses vectors extending along said boundaries in the (y) direction, as seen in figures 2 and 4. Take for instance figure 4, as an example, it can be said that the entire line of (6, yj) is a boundary between (5, yj) and (7, yj), wherein vectors extend along the boundary, from (6,2) to (6,8).

Application/Control Number: 09/633,756 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN

4,958,374 to Tokita et al. ("Tokita").

As to claim 1, Tokita discloses a method for inspecting objects, the method comprising:

Creating a reference image for a representative object, said reference image comprising

an at least partially vectorized first representation of boundaries representing said representative

object (column 4, lines 11-15 and lines 42-53 and Fig. 4, wherein the map of gradient vectors for

the reference pattern data corresponds to the reference image and vectors B corresponds to the

first representation of boundaries), said at least partially vectorized first representation of

boundaries comprising reference vectors extending along said boundaries (Fig. 2 - 4, wherein the

broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims limitation of "extending along the boundaries",

the prior art of Tokita discloses vectors extending along said boundaries in the (yj) direction, as

seen in figures 2 and 4);

Application/Control Number: 09/633,756

Art Unit: 2624

Acquiring an image of an object under inspection comprising a second representation of boundaries representing said object under inspection (column 3, line 66 – column 4, line 11, column 4, lines 22-33 and figure 2, wherein vectors A corresponds to the second representation of boundaries); and

Comparing a location of at least some boundaries in the second representation of boundaries to a location of corresponding boundaries in said at least partially vectorized first representation of boundaries (column 4, lines 55-64), thereby to identify defects (column 5, lines 9-15).

As to claim 3, Tokita discloses a system for image processing comprising:

A boundary identifier operative to generate a representation of boundaries of known elements in an image (column 3, line 66 – column 4, line 11, column 4, lines 22-33, Fig. 2 and Fig 17, wherein high gradient areas represented in gradient vectors A and B would indicate the boundaries between different valued pattern data), said representation of boundaries comprising reference vectors extending along said boundaries (Fig. 2 - 4, wherein the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims limitation of "extending along the boundaries", the prior art of Tokita discloses vectors extending along said boundaries in the (yj) direction, as seen in figures 2 and 4);

A hardware candidate defect identifier operative to identify at least some candidate defects in the image, in hardware (column 4, lines 55-64 and Fig. 17, element 9 and 10); and

A software candidate defect inspector receiving an output from the hardware candidate defect identifier and analyzing a location of boundaries in said representation of boundaries to

identify at least one false alarm within said output, in software (column 4, line 64 – column 5, line 15 and Fig. 17, element 21).

As to claim 4, Tokita discloses a system according to claim 3, wherein the boundary identifier comprises a hardware boundary operative to generate a representation of boundaries of known elements in the image, in hardware (column 3, line 66 – column 4, line 11, column 4, lines 22-33, Fig 2 and Fig. 17).

As to claim 7, Tokita discloses a system according to claim 3, wherein said hardware candidate defect identifier employs said representation of boundaries in order to identify at least some candidate defects (column 4, lines 55-64 and Fig. 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokita.

As to claim 2, Tokita discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein the comparing employs a variable threshold for acceptable distance between corresponding portions of the

Art Unit: 2624

boundaries in the first and second representations (column 5, lines 7-15 and column 7, line 67 – column 8, line 8).

Tokita does not disclose expressly wherein the variable threshold is user-selected.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the user select the threshold. Applicant has not disclosed that having the user select the threshold, as opposed to the system automatically selecting it, provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the system selecting the threshold because selecting the threshold is based solely on the minimum value of gradient vector differences.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Tokita with a variable threshold that is user-selected to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 34-38 are allowed, as indicated in the office action mailed on February 16, 2006.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

USPN 4,532,650 to Wihl et al. discloses comparing vectorized representations of patterns to detect defects.

Art Unit: 2624

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron W. Carter whose telephone number is (571) 272-7445. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 4:30 am (Mon. - Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

awc AwC

HNGGEWI MARY EXAMINER